

Appendix E: 2013 Plan Update Changes

The following items are the identified significant changes made to the individual 2004 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plans for the Town of Calumet, the City of El Reno, the City of Mustang, the City of Piedmont, the Town of Union City and the unincorporated areas of Canadian County. Changes are based on criteria outlined for Plan Updates in the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* document of July 1, 2008. The changes are indicated on a Chapter-by-Chapter and section-by-section basis, when appropriate.

The FEMA guidance document identified 5 major areas of significance, including:

- Prerequisites
- Planning Process
- Risk Assessment
- Mitigation Strategy
- Plan Maintenance.

The most significant change presented by this Plan Update is that it is a **Multi-Jurisdictional Multi-Hazard Plan**. This Plan includes the unincorporated areas of Canadian County, the Towns of Calumet, Okarche and Union City, the Cities of El Reno and Piedmont, the Public School Districts of Banner, Darlington, El Reno, Maple, Mustang, Okarche, Piedmont and Union City, and the post-secondary educational institutions of Canadian Valley Technology Center District No. 6 and Redlands Community College.

The contents of this Plan regarding the Town of Okarche, all Public School Districts, Canadian Valley Technology Center and Redlands Community College are new plan material and, therefore, have no update changes.

Update changes for the remaining participating jurisdictions appear in Table E-1:

Table E-1: Significant Plan Update Changes

Section	Significant Changes
Introduction and General Overview	
Chapter 1.1.5	2013 update re-emphasizes National Mitigation Strategy and Goal, FEMA's goals, presents the goals of Oklahoma as reflected its 2011 Standard Mitigation Plan Update, and broadens the goals of Calumet to include focus on a disaster resistant community, enhanced economic development, better quality of life, and seeking to achieve a more livable, viable, and sustainable community .
All Chapters and Appendix F and G	2010 U.S. Census figures have been incorporated where available, U.S. Census 5-year average estimates and 2000 Census figures have been used when 2010 Census figures were not yet available.
Chapter 1.2.6 and Section 1.4, of Appendix F for each city	Lifeline information has been reviewed and, if needed, updated, based on the most current information available from utility and transportation authorities.
Chapter 1.2.7 and Section 1.5 of Appendix F for each city	The Major Employers' List has been updated.

Section	Significant Changes
Chapter 1.2.9 and Section 1.7 of Appendix F for each city	Critical Facilities has been modified.
Chapter 4 and Section 4 of Appendix F for each city	A more thorough analysis has been conducted regarding the vulnerability to and impacts of the 15 hazards covered by the Plan to each community.
Prerequisites	
Chapter 7.3	The County, cities and towns will adopt the Plan by resolution as an amendment to their respective Comprehensive Plans.
Planning Process	
Chapter 3 and Section 3 of Appendix F for each city	The respective jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Advisory Committees met monthly throughout the planning phase.
Risk Assessment	
Appendix F, Calumet Section 4	All risk assessments were reviewed for recent events, using interviews with local response agencies, Town representatives, County Emergency Management, the state Fire Marshall's database, the NCDC database, USDA, and other partners.
Appendix F, Calumet Section 4	Critical facilities were given specific attention, identified and potential risk analyzed.
Appendix F, Calumet Section 4	With all hazards, risk assessment was analyzed from the specific standpoints of population, structures/buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities.
All Chapters and Sections in Appendix F	Updated parcel values from the County Assessor's office and other data sources have been incorporated when available.
Chapter 4.2.1	Description and appropriate tables for the Enhanced Fujita Scale, adopted in 2006 by the National Weather Service, were included.
Chapter 4.11.1	The increased spread of Eastern Red Cedar and its contribution to Oklahoma wildfire risk was studied in conjunction with the University of Oklahoma Department of Agriculture. These concerns were incorporated into the plan.
Mitigation Strategy	
<i>2004 Plans Chapter 4</i>	Goals and Objectives from the 2004 city and town plans were individually reviewed and evaluated based on both progress made in mitigation strategies, and in other plan development for the communities..
2012 Chapters 5.1 and 5.2	In the 2004 plans, general goals, and appropriate disaster-specific goals were enumerated in the Mitigation Strategy Chapter. In the 2013 Plan Update, a separate Chapter was developed for Goals and Objectives. An overall Mission Statement was developed along with an overall Mitigation Goal. This was followed by a series of Goals for all hazards to refine the overall goal. A Goal was then developed for each of the 15 addressed hazards, and a specific Objective was developed for each of the 6 mitigation categories: Public Information & Education, Preventive Measures, Structural Projects, Property Protection, Emergency Services, and Natural Resource Protection. This produced a total of 90 overall Objectives to more effectively define the Mitigation Strategies the participating jurisdictions.
Appendix D	An Appendix was included documenting the previously identified mitigation measures from the 2003 Plan, identifying what actions have taken place or are continuing to take place. The Technical Advisory Committee reviewed the list of measures and the status of each for accuracy.
Plan Maintenance	
Chapter 7.1	The ongoing Monitoring and Evaluation of the Mitigation Plan has been made more robust by requiring more frequent meetings of the Hazard Mitigation Advisory Committee, more frequent update reports to critical personnel in the respective jurisdictions, and by requiring semi-annual, as opposed to annual, reports to the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee. This will simplify the update process by helping to ensure that ongoing revisions and updates are developed on an interim basis.

Section	Significant Changes
Chapter 7.2	The County cities, towns and educational jurisdictions are committed to involving the public directly in updating and maintaining the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan. Copies of the Plan will be maintained at the public library, and the plan will be placed on the Canadian County website.
Continued Public Involvement	
Chapter 7	The participating jurisdictions have a firm understanding of the importance of a Multi-Jurisdictional Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan that is current and relevant. Each community has resolved to increase and enhance public education and public involvement.

